Exhibit 28

		
STATE OF WIS	CONSIN,	
	PLAINTIFF,	JURY TRIAL TRIAL – DAY 1
VS.		Case No. 05 CF 381
STEVEN A. AV	ERY,	
	DEFENDANT.	
DATE: FEB	RUARY 12, 2007	
	. Patrick L. Willi cuit Court Judge	is
APPEARANCES:		
	Special Prosecut On behalf of the	tor e State of Wisconsin.
	THOMAS J. FALLON	
	Special Prosecut On behalf of the	tor e State of Wisconsin.
	NORMAN A. GAHN	
	Special Prosecut On behalf of the	tor e State of Wisconsin.
	DEAN A. STRANG	
	Attorney at Taw On behalf of the	e Defendant.
	JEROME F. BUTING	3
	Attorney at Law On behalf of the	e Defendant.
	STEVEN A. AVERY	
	Defendant Appeared in pers	son.
	TRANSCRIPT OF I	PROCEEDINGS
F	Reported by Diane	Tesheneck, RPR
	Official Court	h Danasahasa

Defendants are not required to prove their innocence. The law presumes every person charged with the commission of an offense to be innocent. This presumption requires a finding of not guilty unless in your deliberations you find it is overcome by evidence which satisfies you, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty. Mr. Strang, at this time you may begin.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, your Honor.

Good afternoon. This summer it will be 22 years, 22 years since a woman running on the beach in

Manitowoc was raped and beaten nearly to death. The

Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department investigated those awful crimes and they charged Steven Avery with rape and attempted murder on that Manitowoc beach, 22 summers ago.

He said consistently that he was innocent, that he had not done it. No one believed him, no one but his own family believed him.

And as that case was making its way through the Manitowoc County Circuit Court, just one county over, Teresa Marie Halbach was five and was starting kindergarten. Somewhere else, somewhere we don't know, a man named Gregory

Allen, presumably, was laughing and planning his next violent rape.

Avery was trying, still, to make people understand that he was innocent. DNA testing was in its infancy. It was beginning to move into courtrooms, out of scientific laboratories. But we have come a long way, just a few years since 1996, and it was not as advanced as it is today.

But in 1996, Steven Avery took a chance and had blood drawn, a little vial of blood. It was sent off, through the help of his lawyers, for early DNA testing. It couldn't clear him entirely. It helped, but it did not conclusively prove Steven Avery's innocence of the attempted murder and rape on the Manitowoc beach.

And when the tests failed to prove him entirely innocent, that blood was sent back, in a box sealed with evidence tape, to the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court. And there, in 1996, that blood vial, sealed in the box with evidence tape, took up residence in the now 11 year old file of the 1985 case; in a box, in the open, in the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office. And there it sat.

And in 1996, here, just a few miles 1 2 north of here, Teresa Marie Halbach was learning 3 to drive at age 16, I assume. And the irony --Could you hear me before? Can you hear 4 5 me now? THE COURT: We can hear you better now. 6 7 ATTORNEY STRANG: All right. Is it the Verizon guy who says that? 8 9 Teresa was learning to drive, I assume, 10 at age 16. And the irony -- the irony is that the blood vial in the Clerk's Office probably is 11 12 what ends up in her car, eventually. 13 And time moves forward, though, to 2002. 14 Science also has moved forward. DNA testing has 15 improved, and a new effort is made to exonerate 16 Steven Avery. 17 Now, the blood in the vial, in the box, 18 under the evidence tape, in the Clerk's Office, 19 is not, you will learn, what is used for the 2002 20 and 2003 DNA testing. But, some materials from 21 that box, that file, the overall file from the 22 1985 case, some are sent to the Wisconsin State 23 Crime Laboratory in Madison, to Sherry Culhane, 24 to whom Mr. Kratz introduced you.

And the person from the Manitowoc County

Sheriff's Department involved, low these many years later, the department was, but a person from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department who documented the things that were sent from that old court file to the Crime Laboratory and, therefore, presumably looked at the box and assisted in deciding what to send. That person was, by that time, a lieutenant — or a detective, now a lieutenant, named James Lenk.

Now, Detective Lenk was with the

Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, had his

office in the Sheriff's Department that adjoins,

or is connected by a small courtyard, to the

Manitowoc County Circuit Court and the Clerk's

Office, by a small courtyard to the south of the

courthouse. He was, as I say, a detective with

the Sheriff's Department. Today he is the

lieutenant of the detectives and leads the

Detective Unit.

He documented, in 2002, what was sent to the State Crime Laboratory from that file. 2002 is the year that Teresa Halbach graduated from the University of Wisconsin at Green Bay and came home a short distance back, here to Calumet County, to start off a promising career.

In 2003, nearly a year after the necessary DNA samples were sent, the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory was able to establish that Steven Avery did not rape and beat the woman on the Manitowoc beach, as he had been saying all along. And because of the advance of science, the Crime Lab was better — was able to do better than that. It was able to establish that Gregory Allen did.

Now, unfortunately, in the time that passed, Mr. Allen had raped violently, again, because he had his liberty while that man did his time. But in the fall of 2003, as the weather was cooling, the State of Wisconsin at long last joined Steven Avery in a motion to set aside his conviction, and an innocent man also went home.

Home for Steven Avery, home is the salvage yard of which you have seen, now, many glorious pictures, from up high, from down low, from angles all over. The pictures are a good deal more glorious looking than the salvage yard itself, but this was home. It's the only home that would take him back after this time.

Allen Avery, Steven's father, back there in the working shirt, just as you might expect;

Allen Avery started that business nearly 40 years ago on the 40 acres that he scrimped to buy. He raised sons and a daughter. And they didn't wander far from the business.

Chuck and Earl joined it, Barb works elsewhere, works a factory job, but lives on the property. And this is the sort of business where the family, as you saw, shares the perimeter of this property with the 4,000 rusting, decaying cars that are the refuse, the wreckage of other people's lives.

This is not a glamorous business, but it is a necessary business. It is a good business. And, yes, as you will learn, you have got to get your hands dirty if you're going to be in the salvage business. Not just dirty, you get your hands bloody, because you are working with rusted, jagged metal disassembling cars. And the dirt that grinds into your palms and that you find under your fingernails doesn't wash off at night.

But this was his family's business and this was home. And he rejoined his brother's, Chuck and Earl; and his father, Allen; his mother, Delores, on the family's property and at

the business. He became, again, one in the Avery clan, one man in the Avery clan. And tried to resume some normalcy of life, sharing the perimeter of that salvage yard, not in a pretty house in town, on a nice stone foundation, but in a trailer home, down from his sister's trailer home. Both of them down from the doublewide that mom and dad have, and Chuck's trailer toward the back, on the path toward the crusher.

And it is, although not glamorous, a worthwhile business and it's work with its own dignity. What would we do, if we didn't have the salvage yards in which to find spare parts. I guess we would be reliant entirely on the big corporations that make the cars, to continue to make spare parts for them and sell them at such prices they might see fit.

So it would be pretty tough without the Allen Averys and the Steven Averys of the world. It would be pretty tough for the guy who is restoring the 1968 Pontiac GTO hard top, in his garage, to do that economically. It would be pretty tough for the guy working on a 1965 Mustang convertible, in his spare time, to do that.

Maybe more importantly, it would be pretty tough for the woman who's got young kids to feed, and a job to hold down, and medical bills, and she just has to get another 50,000 miles out of that 1988 Oldsmobile. And for these people, maybe for you, for many of us, it's a good thing that that young woman's father, or brother, or maybe she, can go to the salvage yard and keep the 1988 Oldsmobile running a little while longer.

Now, in 2003, when Steven went home,

Teresa Halbach also was home. Her photography

business was flourishing and things were going

reasonably well. In 2004, Steven Avery filed a

lawsuit seeking some recompense for the hole in

his life, the time he had spent as an innocent

man, for the crimes that Gregory Allen committed.

This was a serious lawsuit. It was in federal court, down in Milwaukee, and there was no question but that a Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department and, in the end, the court system, had gotten the wrong guy.

And as that lawsuit crept forward, as lawsuits do, we came to October 2005. In October 2005, about the middle part of the month, James

Lenk and another ranking officer of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, Sergeant Andrew Colborn, Mr. Lenk and Mr. Colborn both were pulled into the lawsuit, not as defendants or parties to the lawsuit, but as witnesses, witnesses who had their depositions taken in the middle of October, 2005.

Now, a deposition, typically in a civil lawsuit, is an event where you get a subpoena as a witness; you come normally to a lawyer's office, the conference room, the library, the lawyer's office; lawyers from both or all sides are there.

A court reporter is there; these days often a videographer as well. And the court reporter swears the witness under oath, the lawyers ask questions of the witness under oath and they are recorded, much as Mrs. Tesheneck is recording what we're saying here. There's no judge; it happens, as I say, typically in a lawyer's office.

And these two men, Lenk and Colborn, were witnesses. They were witnesses about their own conduct. Neither had been with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department in 1985, but an event

in 1995 or 1996 came up in that lawsuit. And as to that event, both of them were witnesses being questioned about their own activity and conduct with respect to Mr. Avery's imprisonment.

By the end of that month, unfortunately, those depositions would begin to matter. And indeed, from the time it was filed in 2004, you will learn, the lawsuit itself mattered. This sort of lawsuit, or the public cry of the innocent man wrongly convicted and imprisoned has to be, as you will see here I think, it has to be, as you get into the heads of law enforcement and begin to understand the process of law enforcement, this kind of thing has to be a nightmare for every good law enforcement officer.

These folks do not want to put innocent people in prison. They want to put guilty people in prison. And when they get it wrong, when the whole system gets it wrong, there understandably are feelings of shame, of embarrassment, anger, humiliation, conflicting feelings about this.

This is a good cops worst nightmare,
made all the more worse by the fact that Gregory
Allen, free, thanks to Steven Avery being
convicted instead, Gregory Allen went on to rape

and beat again.

This lawsuit kindled real difficult emotions. And the focal point of those emotions, naturally, was the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department which had investigated the rape many years ago on the Manitowoc beach.

And so when October 31, 2005, Halloween, rolls along, Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn not only have the lawsuit to contemplate, but now, within the last three weeks, have been made witnesses in it and had their depositions taken.

October 31, 2005, began at the Avery
Auto Salvage Yard, much as any workday would.
This was a Monday, the yard was open. Not long
after 8:00 in the morning, about 8:12 in the
morning, Steven Avery called Auto Trader down
in — actually I think in Hales Corners, Highway
100 down on the southwest side of Milwaukee,
called Auto Trader, as he had done a number of
times before, and said, we need a photographer,
we have a car for sale.

Now, the car belonged to Barb Janda, the van, the mini van you saw computer images of and actual photographs of. It was there. It was hers. It was for sale. I don't expect there

property, the gravel quarry to the south, we can't rule out other possible burn sites. And an expert won't be able to tell you what other possible burn sites there are. Expert or not, that's not something he or she will be able to tell you.

But once it's more likely, as I think you will find it to be more likely, that the body is burned somewhere else and bone fragments then are brought to Steven Avery's burn area, then he's not guilty. Because if he's the one who burned the body somewhere else, he's not going to bring the bones back to dump them 20 yards outside his bedroom window.

Neither is he going to dump a cell phone and a digital camera and a palm pilot in his own burn barrel. Too many other places where these things could be disposed of out in the salvage yard, whether the retention pond, whether the gravel quarry, or some other burn barrel in the woods. So once you understand that those bones probably were not burned in that burn area, the fact that they are found there, you will see tends to suggest he's not guilty, not that he is.

It is perfectly clear to anyone around

this investigation on whom the focus of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department and the other investigators, to the extent that tunnel vision, that investigative bias bled over, it's perfectly clear on whom the focus of this investigation is.

The police didn't kill Teresa Halbach, obviously, they have that in common with Steven Avery, but they wanted to believe he did. They very much wanted to believe that he did. And whoever did kill her, or burned that body, exploited that tunnel vision pretty skillful.

Suggesting this sort of tunnel vision, suggesting this kind of investigative bias, planting blood in her car, fairly serious allegations to make. In fact, I will take away the fairly, they are serious allegations.

Understand them, that bias and tunnel vision are human anomalies.

And if you conclude, reluctantly, that Mr. Lenk or Mr. Colborn, in addition to all the other interests they took in searching and focusing on Steven Avery, planted blood in her car, you will also conclude that they put it there because they figured it had to be there.

It should be there. It must be him.

This wasn't so much, I think the evidence will show you, an effort to frame an innocent man, it was an intense, intense desire to conclude that he, in fact, was the guilty man; all other possible leads for information not withstanding. It was an immediate focus on this man, starting shortly after 11:00, Saturday, November 5, 2005. But you do not have to take my word for that.

I can make this work; I'm not as adept at it as I should be. I'm going to play for you, two tapes, a part of it, just excerpts, short excerpts of two tapes.

The first one is Saturday, November 5, 2005, at 11:35 in the morning, 35 minutes give or take a minute or two, after the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department first has arrived at the Avery property, because that Toyota has been found; well before the police say they opened the Toyota; well before they say they knew of any blood; well before Brutus, the friendly cadaver dog comes along and hits; 35 minutes after the first officers arrived when the Sturm's called and said, hey, we think we found something.

What I'm going to do is scroll through a transcript that we prepared and then I will play the excerpt of the tape for you. It is not a great recording. The transcript is not evidence, the tape will be, I expect. So if you think my transcript is wrong, listen to the tape; it's the evidence, or it will be. That's the tape that matters. The transcript may help you in understanding it or hearing it.

Detective Remiker is calling in, he's asking for dispatch. Dispatch responds, I put unintelligible, I think it's go ahead, but I'm not sure, you can decide. Maybe you won't understand it for sure either.

Detective Remiker says to the dispatcher, you will need to get ahold of the Crime Lab for their evidence response team to start responding to this location. Now, he's out at the Avery Salvage Yard. As you will hear. Dispatch says, 10-4, Crime Lab out of Madison, Milwaukee, where?

Our Crime Lab has branches in Wausau,

Madison and Milwaukee. The main one is in

Madison. Detective Remiker says, it's going to

be the Madison response team and he was right.

Now, Detective Jacobs joins in, this 1 radio traffic, radio conversation. Calls in with 2 3 his badge number, his squad number, I'm in code, you will find out what that means, anything you 4 5 need other than a portable for Schetter. And what you'll find out is he's talking about a 6 7 portable radio for Deputy Inspector Greg Schetter of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department who 8 9 is, I think, the number two or three ranking 10 officer in the Department and who's probably also 11 going out to the Avery property. Detective 12 Remiker, not that I can think of right now, 13 Dennis. Dennis Jacobs. Let's see if this work. 14 (Tape recording played.) 15 DETECTIVE REMIKER: Yeah, need to get a 16 hold of the Crime Lab for their evidence response 17 to start responding at this location. 18 DISPATCH: 10-4. Crime Lab out of 19 Madison, Milwaukee, where? 20 DETECTIVE REMIKER: Madison response 21 team. 22 DETECTIVE JACOBS: 278, I'm in code, 23 anything you need other than a portable for 24 Schetter. 25 ATTORNEY STRANG: It cut off. Sorry about

that, you will hear -- You will get a chance to hear 1 2 the whole conversation. And it continues, Dennis 3 Jacobs says, okay, other than the car, do we have anything else. He's talking to Remiker here. Dave 4 5 Remiker says, not yet. Detective Jacobs, Okay. Is he in custody? Detective Remiker, Negative, nothing 6 7 vet. 8 Not who, not is who in custody, but 9 negative. He is not in custody, nothing yet. 10 Detective Jacobs, Okay. I'll gather my stuff and head out. 11 12 (Tape recording played.) 13 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Okay. Other than the 14 car do we have anything else? DETECTIVE REMIKER: Not yet. 15 16 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Is he in custody? 17 DETECTIVE REMIKER: Not yet, nothing 18 happening. 19 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Okay. I will gather 20 my stuff and head out. 21 ATTORNEY STRANG: Now, that's 11:35, is he 22 in custody yet. Detective Remiker, clearly, I 23 gather, as I hear it, knows who Detective Jacobs is 24 talking about, but we don't, 35 minutes after the

police have arrived.

And to get a better feel for that 1 conversation at 11:35, we have to go back five 2 3 minutes earlier when Detective Jacobs is calling in on the land line, 5 minutes earlier, 30 4 5 minutes, 30 minutes after the police have arrived 6 at the Avery property after Teresa's car has been 7 found there. Dispatcher answers the phone. Detective 8 9 Jacobs, Katie -- the name of the dispatcher --10 just rolled into the parking lot. Can you tell 11 me, do we have a body or anything yet? Do we 12 have a body or anything yet? This is 30 minutes 13 after they found the car. 14 I don't believe so. I believe they 15 wouldn't find the first bone fragment for three 16 days. Do we have Steven Avery in custody, 17 though? I have no idea. You can hear it 18 yourself. 19 (Tape recording played.) 20 DISPATCH: Good morning. Manitowoc 21 County Sheriff's Department, Katie speaking. 22 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Katie, I just rolled 23 into the parking lot. Can you tell me, do we

DISPATCH: I don't believe so.

have a body or anything yet?

24

DETECTIVE JACOBS: Do we have Steven 1 2 Avery in custody? 3 (Tape recording starts playing again.) DISPATCH: Good morning. Manitowoc 4 5 County Sheriff's Department, Katie speaking. DETECTIVE JACOBS: Katie, I just rolled 6 7 into the parking lot, can you tell me do we have a body or anything yet? 8 9 DISPATCH: I don't believe so. 10 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Do we have Steven 11 Avery in custody at all? 12 DISPATCH: I have no idea. 13 ATTORNEY STRANG: Now, I will finish it out 14 so you can link it up to the call -- the discussion with Detective Remiker 5 minutes later. Oh, I heard 15 16 him say pick up that party. Oh no, the dispatcher 17 says, Pete, who is just another Manitowoc County 18 Sheriff's officer, is sitting up there waiting and 19 stopping people from going in and that. He found 20 someone with a body only warrant for our department. 21 A body only warrant is an arrest warrant 22 or a bench warrant where they are going to take 23 the person into custody, rather than immediately 24 grant him bail. Okay. Do we have -- All right. 25 I will talk to Remiker. Yeah, your best bet is

to talk -- because nothing has come through. We 1 have the vehicle, that I know. But more than 2 3 that, I don't know. All right. Bye. (Tape recording played.) 4 5 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Oh, I heard him say 6 pick up that party. 7 DISPATCH: Oh, no. We have -- Well, Pete is sitting up there waiting and stopping 8 9 people from going in and that. He found somebody 10 with a body only warrant for our department. DETECTIVE JACOBS: Okay. Do we have --11 12 All right. I will talk to Remiker. 13 DISPATCH: Yeah, your best bet is to 14 talk to -- Nothing has come through. We have the 15 vehicle, that I know. 16 DETECTIVE JACOBS: All right. 17 you. 18 DISPATCH: But what more, I don't know. 19 All right. Bye. 20 DETECTIVE JACOBS: Bye. 21 ATTORNEY STRANG: So you can take the 22 tunnel vision and investigative bias from them, not 23 from me. Now, in the end here, in the end, when you 24 have heard it all, there's not a speck of Teresa 25 Halbach's blood anywhere in Steven Avery's trailer.

There's not a piece of hair, nothing, nothing to suggest she's ever been in the trailer. And only the magic bullet found 4 months later to suggest she's ever been anywhere near the garage.

And when you consider the forces, the emotions, the very human failings at work here, it's no surprise that the blood from that unsecured vial, in the box, in the Clerk's Office, that Lieutenant Lenk examined back in 2002, ends up in that Toyota. Because that's where it ought to be. Is he in custody yet?

Jerome Buting and I will not ask you to make that kind of snap judgment here. The Halbachs deserve better than that. The police deserve better than that. You owe it to yourselves, in making this decision, to do better than a snap judgment, a snap judgment 30 minutes after that Toyota is found.

Jerome Buting and I are going to ask you to do your job right. Think long and hard about all of the evidence. But in the end, after the full and fair consideration of everything and everyone, the full and fair consideration that Steven Avery did not get in 2005, from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department; we're

going to ask you to send him home. We're going 1 to ask you to send him home, again. We're going 2 3 to ask you to get it right this time. We're going to ask you to set it right when this case 4 5 is over. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Strang. Members 6 7 of the jury, we're going to take an afternoon break We'll resume in 15 minutes and the State will 8 begin the presentation of evidence. I will remind 9 10 you again, as I will a number of times throughout 11 the trial, do not discuss the case during the break 12 or at any other time until all the evidence has been 13 received. 14 (Jury not present.) 15 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, we should 16 be ready to go promptly at 2:45. 17 (Recess taken.) 18 THE COURT: At this time the State may call 19 its first witness. 20 ATTORNEY KRATZ: State will call Mike 21 Halbach, your Honor. 22 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 23 MICHAEL D. HALBACH, called as a witness 24 herein, having been first duly sworn, was 25 examined and testified as follows:

1		THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
2		your name and spell your last name for the record.
3		THE WITNESS: Michael Daniel Halbach,
4		H-a-l-b-a-c-h.
5		DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY A	ATTORNEY KRATZ:
7	Q.	Mr. Halbach, did you know a young woman by the
8		name of Teresa Halbach?
9	Α.	I did.
10	Q.	Describe, who was Teresa, please.
11	Α.	Teresa was or is my sister. She was born on
12		March 22nd, 1980. Grew up with my family on a
13		dairy farm near Hilbert.
14		She loved travel; she had been to Spain,
15		Mexico, New Zealand, Australia.
16		She had many friends. She loved doing
17		things with her friends. She was a good friend
18		of mine, as well.
19		She was my big sister, someone I could
20		go to talk to about any problems I would have.
21		We would go to lunch, talk about her business
22		which she ran, called Photography by Teresa.
23		And in August of 2005, she coached her
24		sister's 7th grade volleyball team to second
25		place in their league. So I know that that's

something she really loved doing, was working with those kids. That was the main focus of her photography business as well.

And she graduated from the University of Wisconsin, Green Bay, in 2002, major in photography and she graduated summa cum laude.

- Q. I'm going to hand you a couple of exhibits.

 First exhibit is that which is marked as Exhibit

 No. 1. Could you tell us what that is, please.
- 10 A. It's a photo of Teresa.
- 11 | Q. Do you know when that photo was taken?
- 12 A. Not exactly, but by the looks of it, it was
 13 fairly recent. I would say 2005.
 - Q. Does that particular photo accurately depict your sister, Teresa, and as it did the last time that you saw her?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

- 18 Q. By the way, when was the last time that you saw her; do you recall?
- A. The last time I saw my sister was October 30th,

 2005, the day before she went missing. We were

 at my grandparents house. It was my grandpa's —

 Halloween was my grandpa's birthday. And the day

 before we went to their house, the entire family

 was there, aunts, uncles.

- Q. Mr. Halbach, I'm sure we'll get better at this
 with the jury, but I'm going to direct your
 attention to the large screen in the courtroom,
 is that another version or a larger version of
 what's been marked as Exhibit No. 1?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. You mentioned that Teresa was part of your family, can you tell us who else was involved in your immediate family, please?
 - A. I have an older brother, Tim; and then Teresa would be the second oldest; myself; and two younger sisters, Katie and Kelly; parents, Tom and Karen.
 - Q. Directing your attention to Exhibit No. 2, I'm also putting that on the screen for the jury; can you tell us what that is, please.
 - A. It's a photo we took outside my parents farm. I believe it was in 2004, that summer, early fall.

 It's a photo we used for our Christmas cards that year. And it's a photo of my family.
 - Q. And as you are pointing to Exhibit No. 2, please, could you tell us, or tell the jury, who all is in that photo?
- A. Tom, Teresa's, I guess legally would be her step dad, standing in the back with the jean shirt; to

- his left is, Katie, younger sister; and to her left is Kelly, the youngest of the family. In front from left to right is the oldest brother, Tim; and then my mom, Karen; then myself holding our dog, Eddy; and Teresa is on the end.
- Q. All right. How often would you get to see

 Teresa; how often would you interact with her?
- A. Every few days I would probably talk to her either on the phone, or if it was a weekend, we would probably see each other, if I was at my parent's house if she would stop over during the week, or over the weekend. So I would see her see her or at least talk to her every three days or so.
- Q. Are you familiar with Teresa's electronic devices that she owned?
- 17 A. Yes, I am.

- 18 Q. Could you tell us about those, please.
 - A. She owned a cell phone, a Motorola RAZR, and I know this because she talked on it a lot. She also had a palm pilot. I believe it was the brand was Palm 1, I believe.

She had tons of photography equipment, obviously. Hasselblad is one camera; Canon is another; and through one of her jobs she had a

- little snapshot camera for the job. She worked
 through Auto Trader Magazine. She had this
 little snapshot camera to do that job.
 - Q. Do you know what kind of vehicle Teresa drove?
 - A. It was a Toyota RAV4. It was bluish-green in color.
 - Q. We're going to have the actual photo marked as an exhibit, but I'm going to direct your attention up to the large screen. Could you tell us what it is we're looking at there.
- 11 A. Could you repeat that.

- Q. Sure, I'm about to have this photo made part of the -- or to complete the record, but could you tell us and can you look at the large screen and tell us what it is that we're looking at.
- A. That's Teresa holding one of her cameras she had with her professional photography business, standing outside the driver's side door of her Toyota RAV4.
- Q. Mr. Halbach, could you -- regarding Teresa's

 RAV4, could you tell us how often you had contact
 with that vehicle?
- A. I would say I have ridden in it a few times, but
 I would see it whenever I saw her. It was her
 only vehicle, so when she would drive it or when

- she would drive around, she would be in that 1 vehicle. So I was very familiar with it and had 2 3 ridden in it a few times. Teresa's license plate said -- as you sit here 4 5 today, did you know or were you familiar with what Teresa's license plates were? 6 7 Yes, I was. Α. 8 And how are you familiar with that? Ο. 9 Α. One of Teresa's jokes and how she remembered her 10 license plate, her license plate numbers -- or letters and numbers were SWH-582. She remembered 11
- license plate, her license plate numbers -- or
 letters and numbers were SWH-582. She remembered
 those letters because she would joke that it
 stood for single white Halbach.
- 14 (Exhibits No. 3 & 4 marked for identification.)
 - Q. Mr. Halbach, I provided you with two exhibits

 Exhibit No. 3 and Exhibit No. 4, can you tell us,
 though, what those are, please?
- 18 A. Pictures of Teresa's license plate.
- 19 Q. And which one of them has the sticker on it.
- 20 A. Exhibit No. 3.

16

- Q. All right. Just so the jury is shown Exhibit

 No. 3, I'm going to direct your attention to the

 large screen, again; what is it that we're

 looking at?
- 25 A. Teresa's license plate?

- Q. SWH-582, is that right?
- A. That's correct.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Kratz, just for the record, I think the photo of Teresa Halbach with the RAV4 was referred to as an exhibit, but we haven't marked it yet; are you still looking for the original?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We are, Judge. Although we have the original, Judge, we'll be referring to it either with this witness or the next witness who also has familiarity with that.

THE COURT: Just, I think, to keep the record straight, it should be reflected that while it may have been referred to as an expected exhibit number, it has yet to be numbered.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right, judge.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

- Q. (By Attorney Kratz) ~ And the other vehicle, or what I guess would be considered the front license plate, you said that was Exhibit No. 4; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And I have now directed your attention to that on a large skween -- screen, excuse me, once again, Exhibit No. 4, the large screen photo here,

- accurately reflects Exhibit No. 4; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. All right. I have now handed you what's been marked for identification as Exhibit No. 5, tell us what that is, please.
- A. It is the picture we looked at not too long ago
 with Teresa standing outside the driver side of
 her Toyota RAV4.
- 10 Q. Just for the record, Exhibit No. 5, then, would
 11 be the image that we're looking at on the screen
 12 now; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. By the way, Mr. Halbach, did you have an idea as to when this photo was taken? Did I ask you this?
- 17 A. You didn't ask me that. I mean, I would guess
 18 sometime maybe 2004, maybe early 2005.
- 19 Q. I guess the question that the jury needs to know
 20 is, was this Toyota RAV4, the vehicle in which
 21 your sister is standing in front of, the same
 22 vehicle that she was driving at the end of
 23 October of 2005?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- 25 Q. You mentioned that Teresa was involved in the

photography business; can you tell us about that a little bit?

A. Yeah, through college she developed a passion for photography and, hence, why she declared that as her major. I would say her sophomore and junior year she worked at Bay Park Square Mall in Green Bay at Picture People taking photos of children, mainly families.

After she got done doing that, during her last semester at Wisconsin, Green Bay, she started this internship with Tom Pearce of Pearce Photography in Green Bay, doing many of the same things, taking pictures of children, families, some, and also doing weddings on the weekends. So she continued working with him and then later on in 2002, she started her business, which she named Photography by Teresa, which continued up until Halloween of 2005.

- Q. Now, you indicated that you are familiar that at least one of her clients was Auto Trader

 Magazine; is that what you told us?
- A. Yes, that's correct. She in, I think it was

 October of 2004, she started working for Auto

 Trader Magazine as a way to supplement her income

 for her professional business. Since she was

- just starting out with her own business, she

 wouldn't always have clients. So. Yeah, just as

 a way to have some steady income, she got this

 job with the Auto Trader Magazine to take

 pictures of vehicles in people's yards, that they

 were selling themselves.
- Q. First photo I'm showing you has been marked as
 Exhibit No. 7, can you tell us what that is,
 please?
- 10 A. Exhibit No. 7 is Canon PowerShot A310; it's the box for the Canon camera. It's not the camera itself.
- Q. And, once again, were you familiar that that was one of the cameras that Teresa had used in her employment?
- 16 A. Yes, I am, in her employment with *Auto Trader*,
 17 yes.
- 18 Q. The other exhibit, I think it was Exhibit No. 6;
 19 is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Can you tell me what that is, please?
- 22 A. It's a box for a Palm 1 Zire 31 palm pilot.
- Q. And, once again, the large screen, does that
 accurately depict the box, again, recovered from
 your sister's home, the box that she saved for

- 1 her palm pilot?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- 3 Q. Was your sister kind of a pack rat; did she save
- 4 this kind of stuff?
- 5 A. Having gone through her stuff, yeah, she saved a
- 6 lot of stuff, yes.
- 7 Q. Was your sister married?
- 8 Λ . No, she's not.
- 9 Q. Who did she live with?
- 10 A. She lived with a friend of hers from high school,
- named Scott Bloedorn. He lived in the upstairs
- of the apartment -- or of the house she was
- 13 renting from my parents.
- 14 Q. How close was this to your parents' house?
- 15 A. Down the road a short ways, eighth mile, roughly
- 16 quarter mile. Not too far.
- 17 Q. Okay. Mike, did you ever have an opportunity to
- see or talk with your sister as she either went
- 19 to work for the Auto Trader Magazine or as she
- 20 went to work at her own studio?
- 21 A. As she went there?
- 22 Q. Yes. In other words, were you familiar with how
- she dressed to go to work?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can you tell us about that.

She would always dress professionally, especially 1 Α. when she was going to her professional 2 3 photography business, you know, black pants, a nice shirt. And if she happened to be doing Auto 4 5 Trader that same day, she would go in those same clothes. 6 7 But if it was -- if she wasn't going to her job that day, she would dress comfortably, 8 9 not necessarily in professional clothes, but nice 10 clothes nonetheless. Might be a nice pair of 11 jeans and a nice shirt or, you know, maybe khakis 12 and a shirt, sweatshirt. 13 Q. Mike, as long as we have the photos, again, what 14 we're looking at here, that's a picture -- which 15 picture is that, No. 6? That is Exhibit No. 6. 16 Α. 17 Ο. We're going to have the actual exhibit marked so 18 that we don't just have a photo of it? 19 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Janet, is that going to be 20 No. 8? 21 THE CLERK: Yes. 22 (Exhibit No. 8 marked for identification.) 23 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mr. Wiegert, could you 24 provide that to the witness. 25 (By Attorney Kratz) ~ Mr. Halbach, we're showing Q.

- you what's marked for identification as Exhibit 1 2 No. 8; can you show the jury and tell them what 3 that is, please? This is the same box as in the Exhibit No. 6, 4 it's the box for Teresa's Palm 1 Zire 31 palm 5 6 pilot. 7 And if I'm not mistaken, Exhibit No. 7, I think, 8 was the box for the Canon PowerShot $\Lambda310$; is that 9 right? 10 Α. That's correct. 11 We're going to have that box, actually, marked Q. 12 for identification as Exhibit No. 9. 13 (Exhibit No. 9 marked for identification.) 14 Once, again, Mr. Wiegert will be providing that Ο. 15 to you. If you could show it to the jury and 16 tell them what Exhibit No. 9 is, please? 17 Α. Exhibit No. 9 is the box for the Canon PowerShot, 18 the A310, that Teresa used for her Auto Trader 19
 - job.Q. Once again, after your sister's disappearance and
- 21 after investigators began contacting you,
 22 specifically, and your family, these items were
 23 found in her personal effects and turned over; is
 24 that right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Can you tell me who Pam Sturm is?
- A. Pam Sturm, to me, would be my first cousin once removed. She would be my grandma's sister's
- 4 daughter.
- Q. Okay. The involvement of Pam and her daughter,

 Nikole, after your sister was missing, could you

 describe that for the jury?
- 8 Λ . You said her involvement?
- 9 Q. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Pam Sturm was the person who ended up finding Α. 11 Teresa's vehicle on the Avery salvage yard. I 12 recall coming home that day, after I had been 13 with my brother driving, in her -- being inside 14 my parents' house crying and my mom telling me 15 that we found the vehicle -- or Pam found the 16 vehicle, Pam and her daughter, Nikole. 17 quess that would be her involvement.
 - Q. All right. Let's go back just a little bit,

 Mike, if we can. After your mom reported your

 sister missing on the 3rd of November, how was it

 that you were informed of that?
 - A. On Thursday, November 3rd, I was working. I got a call from my mom that afternoon at about 2:00 or 2:30 wondering if I knew where or if I had talked to my sister in the previous, you know,

since Sunday. And I said that I hadn't.

And so I went on to call one of Teresa's good friends at her work and asked her if she had known where Teresa could be. Because it was completely unlike her to go somewhere without telling anyone, especially a family member, a good friend, her roommate, or her boss.

So, I guess after we made those calls it became very evident to me that something was seriously wrong and I expressed that to my mom.

Then shortly after — and she was, you know, she was in agreement, obviously; she knew something was wrong, just like everyone else did.

- Q. Did the family ask for some assistance and did you receive it from some of Teresa's friends regarding searching for her?
- A. In searching for her we, you know, all we had to do was make a couple phone calls to some of Teresa's friends and they would call numerous other people. We needed help passing passing out posters on Friday, November 4th and also doing searches by car on Saturday, the 5th and doing searches by foot a few days following that. So, whenever we needed help, we had help from Teresa's friends, family members, community